

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,359	06/23/2003	Richard H. Davidson	LIT-021	7098	
7590 06/02/2006			EXAMINER		
Arnold D. Litt			AIRAPETIAN, MILA		
Herten Burstein	Sheridan Cevasco Bottin				
Court Plaza No:	rth	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
25 Main Street		3625			
Hackensack, N	J 07601	DATE MAILED: 06/02/2000	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		11 41 51	Amuliama/a)				
Office Action Summary		Apr	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/	600,359	DAVIDSON ET A	L.			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
		Mila	Airapetian	3625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so the to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may by and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed HONTHS from the mailing date of this of aBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1\⊠	Posponsivo to communication(s) file	ad on 25 April 2	വര					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 April 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
		,—						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex pai</i>	rie Quayie, 1935 C	7.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
• —	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.					
_	ion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	•						
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority donal Bureau (PC	re been received. re been received ir ocuments have be T Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nationa	l Stage			
A44a-L	4(a)							
Attachmen	•		4) 🗆 Intonés	w Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice 6	of Informal Patent Application (PT	'O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment received on 04/25/2006 is acknowledged and entered.

Applicant has amended claim 1, and canceled claims 2-5, and 7-10. Currently, claims 1, 6, 11-13 are pending for examination.

For the purpose of examination, Examiner interprets phrase "flavor definitions" as "flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF", and "usage categories selected from the group consisting of bakery, dairy, beverage, confections and oral care".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al. (US 2002/0082733) in view of Burnbaum et al. (US 2004/0138899).

Claim 1. Boyden et al. (Boyden) teaches a system for flavor processing, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359

Art Unit: 3625

a plurality of consumer workstations linked to a central processing hub (accessing the manufacturer's website over the Internet indicates ability for a plurality of customers to access said website, [0017]);

the central processing hub (manufacturer's website) including means for providing consumers with information regarding flavors, the means for providing including a flavor search system through which a consumer may identify desired flavor based upon a series of input criteria [0018]; wherein the criteria include flavor descriptors, and usage categories are selected from the group consisting of bakery, dairy, beverage, confections and oral care [0015], [0016];

said flavor search system includes means for searching available flavors via flavor descriptors and flavor definitions [0015], [0016].

Boyden does not teach means for selecting flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF. However, Boyden does teach that artificial flavorings are mixtures of synthetic compounds that may be chemically identical to natural flavorings [0011]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boyden to include means for selecting flavor legal status chosen from the group consisting of artificial, natural and artificial, natural flavor, natural type and natural WONF, as disclosed in Boyden, because artificial flavorings are low in cost, thereby decreasing the cost of the final product.

Also, Boyden does not that teach that said system includes a flavor search system which is associated with the central processing hub, said flavor search system

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

including means for providing a search results list of available flavors fulfilling the flavor descriptor requirements and the flavor definition requirements.

Burnbaum teaches a system which includes a searchable database which is associated with the server (hub), wherein a user can specify search terms for the type of food and ingredients in order to find a desired recipe [0020].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boyden to include a searchable database, as disclosed in Burnbaum, because it would advantageously allow to guide users in cooking using specific recipes, as specifically taught by Burnbaum [0010].

Claim 6. Said system wherein the criteria further includes solubility of the flavor (physical form such as water-soluble) [0016].

Claim 11. Said system wherein the means for providing also including means for permitting a user to select the preparation of a custom flavor [0015]; [0016].

Claim 12. Said system wherein the consumer workstations and the central processing hub are connected via the Internet [0015].

Claim 13. Said system further including means for providing general information regarding flavors (flavor type, end use, price) [0016].

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/600,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 1-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mila Airapetian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA

5/26/2006

Jeffrey A. Smith Primary Examiner